




Forest & Range Evaluation Program Program Overview

March 7, 2022

Leah Malkinson, FREP Team Lead




Program Overview



- Established in 2004 with the Forest and Range Practices Act
- Multi-agency
Branches: value expertise, monitoring protocols, reporting, data management, provincial engagement
Districts: sampling, licensee & First Nations engagement
Regions: sampling, special projects
- > **15,000 samples** collected
- > **125 publications** on results, findings, best practices

Program Mandate & Drivers

1. Evaluate the **effectiveness of FRPA practices** in achieving desired outcomes, and inform improvements to practices and regulation
2. Support Indigenous reconciliation
3. Contribute to integrated monitoring and assessment in support of land-use planning;
4. Improve access to / reliability of data to support decision making




Vision and Mission

FREP VISION

- Collect and communicate trusted and scientifically robust natural resource monitoring information to inform decision making and improve resource management outcomes.*

FREP MISSION

- Assess the impacts of forest and range activities on the 11 FRPA resource values to determine if on-the-ground results are achieving government's desired outcomes for these values*
- Monitor and report on the condition of resource values, including trends and causal factors, and*
- Identify opportunities for continued improvement of practices, policies and legislation, and support their implementation*






FRPA Values Assessed by FREP

1. **Fish/Riparian**
streams, wetlands, watersheds
2. **Water Quality**
3. **Forest Biodiversity**
stand & landscape level
4. **Cultural Heritage**
5. **Visual Quality**
6. **Timber** - *stand development*
7. **Plants/Forage** –*Range program*
8. **Karst** (Resource Features)
9. **Wildlife**
10. **Soils**
11. **Recreation**



Continuous Improvement Model





FREP Evaluation Questions

- | | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Riparian | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Are riparian forestry and range practices effective in maintaining the functioning condition of riparian areas?</i> |
| Water Quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Are forest practices effective in protecting water quality?</i> |
| Stand Level Retention | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Is stand level retention providing the range of habitat and attributes necessary for maintaining species dependent on wildlife trees and coarse woody debris?</i> |
| CHR | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Are cultural heritage resources being conserved and protected for First Nations cultural and traditional activities?</i> |
| Visual quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Are forest practices achieving visual quality objectives?</i> |



FREP Evaluation: Impact Ratings

Value	Impact Rating	Very Low	Low	Medium	High
Riparian	Level of functioning condition	Properly Functioning Condition	Functioning but at Risk	Functioning but at High Risk	Not Properly Functioning
Water Quality	Potential sediment to streams (m3)	<0.1	<1	1-5	>5

- Evaluates the condition of a value and the effectiveness of forest and range practices
- Does NOT evaluate compliance with legal requirements

FREP Monitoring Protocols

- Standard procedures for monitoring for each value are described in FREP monitoring protocol documents

Google 'FREP' or 'FREP protocols' to find the protocol documents

Forest & Range Evaluation Program Monitoring Protocols

There are 11 resource values currently identified under the [Forest & Range Practices Act](#). For each resource value protocol documents have been created to provide background information and instructions for data collection under the Forest & Range Evaluation Program mandate.

The resource values are:



[Biodiversity](#)



[Cultural Heritage](#)




[Fish/Riparian](#)

Values Assessed by FREP

Routine, Random Sampling



1. Fish/Riparian
 2. Water Quality
 3. Stand Level Retention
 4. Cultural Heritage Resources
-mix of random & targeted sampling
 5. Visual Quality
- stratified by visually sensitive areas
- 30 samples / value / District / 5 years
 - *What is the 'average' condition or effectiveness?*



Values Assessed by FREP

Periodic / targeted sampling

- **Timber** (young stand development)
TSA focused to evaluate specific concerns or questions with young stand development
- **Plants/Forage** (Range Program)
To support tenure allocation, planning or evaluate areas of concern
- **Soils** – periodic sampling
- **Recreation** – periodic sampling
- **Fisheries Sensitive Watersheds**
Watershed assessment with Tier 1 GIS assessment and Tier 2 field monitoring



Values Assessed by FREP

– What's New

- **Karst** (Resource Features)
 - Draft protocol, pilot sampling in 2021
 - Ratings being developed
- **Stand Level Retention**
 - Updated in 2020, implemented in 2021
 - Considers landscape context and best management practices/ research
- **Wildlife**
 - Assessment of FRPA designations for wildlife – cumulative disturbances
 - More detailed evaluation planned
- **Routine Watershed Assessment**
 - new 'routine' protocol for assessing watershed condition



Strengthening Indigenous Engagement and Partnerships

2021 Activities

- 40 indigenous communities engaged in sampling & training
- Collaborative project with Secwepemc communities to develop revised CHR protocol
- Indigenous co-trainers for CHR training
- Community led CHR sampling
- Exploring opportunities to align FREP sampling with Guardian programs






Strengthening Licensee Engagement & Continuous Improvement

- Licensee participation in training, sampling and/or review of results & causal factors
- Engage discussion through district/TSA committees
- Engage range agreement holders in monitoring or discussion of findings
- Invite input on monitoring protocols, program - karst protocol review in 2021
- FREP field tours
- Publish FREP results and findings
- Support licensee Water Quality monitoring
- Engage with FRPA policy program to review results and consider need for policy or regulation





FREP Dashboard



FREP Website (or Google FREP dashboard)

<https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/industry/forestry/managing-our-forest-resources/integrated-resource-monitoring/forest-range-evaluation-program>

FREP Reports & Publications

- ▶ Sustainable Forest Management Practices
- ▶ Timber Supply Review & Allowable Annual Cut
- ▶ Forest Inventory
- ▶ Forest Health
 - Soil Conservation
- ▶ Forest Stewardship Plans
- ▶ Silviculture
 - Wildfire Management
- ▶ Tree Seed
- ▶ Visual Resource Management
- ▼ Integrated Resource Monitoring
 - Integrated Monitoring Reports
- ▼ Forest & Range Evaluation Program
 - ▶ FREP Monitoring Protocols
 - FREP Multiple Resource Value Assessments
 - [FREP Reports & Publications](#)**
- ▶ Managed Resource Features

FREP Reports & Publications

The Forest & Range Evaluation Program (FREP) produces a variety of publications such as scientific reports, annual reports and newsletters. Click on the drop-down arrows below for more information and to view the individual publications.

Expand All | Collapse All

- FREP Assistant Deputy Minister's Reports** ▼
- FREP Multiple Resource Value Assessment (MRVA) Reports** ▼
- FREP Reports** ▼
- FREP Extension Notes** ▼
- FREP Technical Guidance** ▼
- Watershed Status Evaluation Reports** ▼
- FREP Newsletters** ▼
- Strategic Plans** ▼
- Annual Reports** ▼

Subscribe

Enter your email address to subscribe to updates of this page.

Email addresses are collected under section 26(c) of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, for the purpose of providing content updates. Questions about the collection of email addresses can be directed to the [Manager of Corporate Web](#), Government Digital Experience Division. PO Box 9409, Stn Prov Govt, Victoria, BC V8W 9V1

Contact Information

For more information about the program:

▪ FREP@gov.bc.ca



Applications for FREP Data & Protocols

- **Data:** Support forest planning and decision-making
 - FSP results and strategies, operational plans & practices
 - Timber Supply Review
 - Regulatory effectiveness / improvements
- **Protocols / Sampling for other uses:**
 - Field validation for strategic office based assessments
 - Collaborative stewardship monitoring
 - Oil and gas effectiveness monitoring
 - Water authorizations

FREP Training

- Sustainable Forest Management Practices
- Timber Supply Review and Allowable Annual Cut
- Forest Inventory
- Forest health
 - Soil Conservation
- Old Growth Forests
- Forest Stewardship Plans
 - Wildfire Management
- Forest Landscape Plans
- Visual Resource Management
- Silviculture
- Tree Seed
- ▾ Integrated Resource Monitoring
 - Integrated Monitoring Reports
- ▾ Forest and Range Evaluation Program
 - FREP monitoring protocols
 - FREP Multiple Resource Value Assessments
 - FREP Reports & Publications
 - ▾ FREP Training
 - ▾ [Riparian/Streams Protocol Self-Guided Training](#)
 - Lesson 1- Introduction
 - Lesson 2 - Training & Field

Riparian/Streams Protocol Self-Guided Training

Routine Riparian (Stream) Effectiveness Evaluation

The primary goal of this course is to help prepare you for field-oriented training in riparian/stream assessment. The field-training is rigorous and we have created this online course so that you will already have a basic knowledge of the Riparian Management Routine Effectiveness Evaluation (RREE) process and understand some of the terms, procedure and practices used as you undertake the field-based RREE training.

At the end of this training you will be familiar with the process of performing RREE assessments and thus be able to practice the assessment skills more confidently while attending a field training session.



Introduction

Forest & Range Evaluation Program



[Link to riparian/streams protocol documents](#)

For questions about wetland training, please contact FREP@gov.bc.ca

Why engage in FREP?

- **First Nations**
 - Opportunity for collaborative stewardship, influence practices & sampling to address indigenous interests & values
- **Forest Licensees**
 - professional reliance / CI, provide insight on causal factors, other data/ evidence, demonstrate effective practices!
- **District/ regional staff**
 - support good stewardship, get out in the field!



Questions?

